UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

Criminal No. 10-633 (SRC)

Plaintiff, :

OPINION & ORDER

ANIA NOWAK and ZBIGNIEW CICHY,

Defendants.:

CHESLER, District Judge

The United States of America (the "Government") has moved for an order, compelling pro se Defendant Ania Nowak ("Nowak") to comply with the Court's Order, issued from the bench on June 24, 2013 and later incorporated into a written order filed July 15, 2013, directing Nowak to provide the Government with handwriting exemplars. The Court will hereinafter refer to that order as the "Handwriting Exemplars Order." The Government has also made a motion for the Court to appoint standby counsel for Nowak. Nowak has filed a letter, dated August 19, 2013, opposing the Government's motion. The Court will address each request made by the Government in turn.

The Handwriting Exemplars Order reaffirmed the Court's earlier order of May 14, 2012 granting the Government's motion to compel Nowak to comply with a Rule 17(c) subpoena for her handwriting exemplars. It directed the parties to perform certain tasks associated with

Nowak's provision of exemplars and set a timetable for those tasks. The Government, as directed, submitted its proposed handwriting procedure to Nowak on June 28, 2013. The Handwriting Exemplars Order provided Nowak an opportunity to raise objections to the proposed procedure within five days thereof. To date, Nowak has neither advised the Government of any objections to the procedure nor otherwise complied with the procedure set forth in the Handwriting Exemplars Order. Instead, when asked by the Government for cooperation on selecting a mutually convenient date for the taking of her handwriting exemplars at the Newark, New Jersey office of the United States Attorney, Nowak unilaterally cut off any progress in satisfying the terms of the Handwriting Exemplars Order by advising the Government that "[a]s for my objections to the taking of the exemplars procedures, the entire matter is currently under the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeals." (Gov't Mem. Ex. B.)

Despite a lengthy response by Nowak to the Government's instant motion, Nowak raises no justifiable basis for her continuing refusal to comply with the Court's directives, first made in the May 14, 2012 Order compelling compliance with the Rule 17(c) subpoena and later reaffirmed in the Handwriting Exemplars Order. Nowak's belief that her attempted interlocutory appeal of the Handwriting Exemplars Order (as well as her interlocutory appeal of an oral ruling issued on May 23, 2013) divests this Court of jurisdiction lacks any legal grounds. Indeed, the opposite rule applies here. The Third Circuit has held that "in both civil and criminal cases . . . the jurisdiction of the lower court to proceed in a cause is not lost by the taking of an appeal from an order or judgment which is not appealable." Venen v. Sweet, 758 F.2d 117, 121 (3d Cir. 1985). Otherwise, the Court of Appeals reasoned, a litigant would be able to cause great disruption to the trial court proceedings, "bringing proceedings in the district court to a standstill

while a non-appealable ruling wends its way through the appellate process." Id. With few, narrowly-tailored exceptions, only final orders are appealable. 28 U.S.C. § 1291; United States v. Williams, 413 F.3d 347, 354 (3d Cir. 2005) (recognizing an exception to the final judgment rule for "collateral orders," but emphasizing that it is to be applied narrowly in the criminal context). A judgment or order is "final" "when it terminates the litigation between the parties on the merits of the case, and leaves nothing to be done but to enforce by execution what has been determined." Parr v. United States, 351 U.S. 513, 518 (1956). The final judgment rule "prohibits appellate review until conviction and imposition of sentence." Flanagan v. United States, 465 U.S. 259, 263 (1984). Nowak, in her opposition to the current motion, rejects both the final judgment rule and the collateral order doctrine and states, without legal authority, that jurisdiction over this action now lies with the Third Circuit Court of Appeals due to "extraordinary circumstances." (Def. Op. Br. at 5.)

Neither the Handwriting Exemplars Order nor the oral ruling of May 23, 2013 also appealed by Nowak is an appealable order. Indeed, the Court notes that the Third Circuit's June 17, 2013 Order concerning her appeal of the May 23, 2013 Order states that the order "is not final and not otherwise appealable at this time," citing the Supreme Court's decision in Flanagan. (Gov't Mem. Ex. C.) As such, this Court retains jurisdiction over this action and has the authority to enforce its orders, including the Handwriting Exemplars Order. Continued non-compliance with the Court's orders compelling Nowak to provide handwriting exemplars is not justified, particularly in light of the approaching trial date of November 12, 2013. Nowak's appeals of non-appealable orders cannot be permitted to disrupt these proceedings or further delay trial.

The Court will therefore direct that, no later than Tuesday, September 17, 2013, Nowak provide the Government with three dates, falling between September 11, 2013 and October 11, 2013 inclusive, on which she is available to provide the handwriting exemplars, and will further direct that she provide the handwriting exemplars on the selected, mutually convenient date. The Court will direct that the handwriting exemplars be taken according to the procedures outlined by the Government in its proposal, transmitted to Nowak by email of June 28, 2013. (See Gov't Mem. Ex. A.) That communication and the procedures it set forth are attached to this Order as Exhibit A.

Turning now to the Government's request for appointment of standby counsel, the Court may in its discretion appoint "standby counsel" to serve in an action in which a defendant has exercised her right to represent herself. See McKaskle v. Wiggins, 465 U.S. 168, 184 (1984). Standby counsel may be warranted if the Court determines that participation by an attorney will "act as a safety net to insure that the litigant receives a fair hearing of his claims and [will] allow the trial to proceed without the undue delays likely to arise when layman presents his own case." United States v. Bertoli, 994, F.2d 1002, 1018-19 (3d Cir. 1993). Indeed, a key function fulfilled by standby counsel is to relieve the court of obstacles that may arise given the pro se defendant's lack of familiarity with the rules of courtroom protocol and other basic matters. Id. at 1019. The Court will consider the Government's request but need not make a determination regarding the appointment of standby counsel at this time. The parties should, however, be prepared to discuss this issue at the next status conference.

Accordingly,

IT IS on this 10th day of September, 2013,

ORDERED that the Government's motion to compel Nowak to provide handwriting exemplars, as directed by the Court's Orders of May 14, 2012, June 24, 2013 and July 15, 2013,

be and hereby is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that no later than Tuesday, September 17, 2013, Nowak shall advise the

Government, in writing, of three alternate dates falling between September 11, 2013 and October

11, 2013, inclusive, on which she is available to provide the handwriting exemplars; and it is

further

ORDERED that on the date selected by the Government from the three dates proposed

by Nowak, Nowak shall provide the handwriting exemplars to the Government at the United

States Attorney's Office, 970 Broad Street, Newark, New Jersey; and it is further

ORDERED that Nowak's handwriting exemplars shall be taken according to the

procedure outlined by the Government in its June 28, 2013 communication with Nowak, attached

hereto as Exhibit A; and it is further

ORDERED that the Court will defer consideration and determination of the

Government's request that the Court appoint standby counsel to a future date in advance of trial.

s/Stanley R. Chesler STANLEY R. CHESLER

United States District Judge

5

EXHIBIT A



U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney District of New Jersey

970 Broad Street, Suite 700 Newark, NJ 07102

973/645-2700

June 28, 2013

Ms. Ania Nowak 157 Pequest Drive Belvidere, NJ 07823

Re: United States v. Ania Nowak, et al.

Crim. No. 10-633 (SRC)

Dear Ms. Nowak:

Enclosed please find a description of the Government's proposed procedure for the taking of your handwriting exemplars. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

PAUL J. FISHMAN United States Attorney

By: SHIRLEY U. EMEHELU Assistant U.S. Attorney

cc: The Hon. Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J. (by email)
Bruce Rosen, Esq. (by email)

Enc.

Government's Proposed Procedure for the Taking of Handwriting Exemplars from Defendant Ania Nowak

- Exemplars of Ms. Nowak's handwriting will be taken in a conference room at the U.S. Attorney's Office.
- The exemplars will be taken by the Government's handwriting expert, Mr. Dennis Ryan
 of Applied Forensics, in the presence of an agent(s) of the Federal Bureau of
 Investigation.
- 3. Ms. Nowak will be asked to sit in a comfortable writing position.
- Directions will be provided to Ms. Nowak on providing natural and freely-written exemplars.
- Ms. Nowak will be asked if there is any reason why she cannot not provide free and naturally prepared exemplars.
- 6. Ms. Nowak will be told that if she needs to take a break, she only needs to ask.
- 7. Ms. Nowak will be instructed that to correct a mistake, she should draw a single line through the mistake and write the correct words adjacent to the mistake.
- 8. Ms. Nowak will be asked to initial any corrections.
- 9. Ms. Nowak will be provided with the writing instrument and the paper to provide the exemplars.
- 10. Ms. Nowak will be told that if she does not understand the instructions, her questions will be answered.
- 11. Ms. Nowak will be asked to provide hand-printed and handwritten (cursive) exemplars on a general handwriting form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 12. Ms. Nowak will also be provided copies of the questioned documents with the word "VOID" printed across them where the questioned writing has been removed. Ms. Nowak will then be asked to sign or write in particular entries on the documents.

EXHIBIT A

HANDWRITING SPECIMEN - Part A

					-				-			
A	B	С	D	,E	• F	G	н	I	1	К	L	М
N	0	Р	Q	R	<u>, s</u>	T	U	-	-w	X	<u> </u>	Z
Please Wusing lowe	RITE - De er case let	o not pri ters of th	nt e Alphabe	et								
а	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	d	e			h	•	 j	k	1	m
n	0	p	q	r	s	<u> </u>	<u>u</u>			x	у у	z
the follow:	RITE - Do	not prii	Two			Three			Fo	ur		
Please WI	RITE - Do	o not pri										
One	RITE - Do	not pri				Three			Fo			
One	RITE - Do	not pri	Two						Eig			
Please WI the follow One Five Nine	RITE - Do	not pri	Two Six Ten			Seven			Eig	cive		
One Five Vine	RITE - De	ers	Two Six Ten			Seven			Eig	yht .		
One Five Vine	RITE - Do	ers	Two Six Ten			Seven			Eig	cht elve		
One Five	RITE - Doing number	ers	Two Six Ten			Seven Eleven Fifteen			Two	cht elve		

Written by ______ at _____ Date_____

HANDWRITING SPECIMEN - Part B

____ Date____

Please WRITE - Do not print

	•
Twenty-four	 Twenty-one
Thirty-three	Thirty-nine
Fony-six	Forty-eight .
Fifty-two	Fifty-seven
Sixty-one	Sixty-two
eventy-five	Seventy-eight
ighty-three	Eighty-four
inety-six	Ninely-nine
·	
	·

Written by _____at_____at_____

Witnessed by ______ Date

HANDWRITING SPECIMEN - Part C

January 15, 1979		February 23, 1980	
March 18, 1981	•	April 9, 1982	
May 27, 1983		June 10, 1984	
July 25, 1985	anne un'aprimine di de a distinuire distance de describigo di sistema de la color de la color de la color de l	August 31, 1986	
● •••		-	•
September 20, 1987	-	October 30, 1988	
November 6, 1989	-	December 29, 1990	
January 22, 1991		February 16, 1992	
March 31, 1993		April 28, 1994	
1ay 2, 1995	-	June 17, 1996	
londay	Tuesday		Wednesday
nursday	Friday		
	· Nay		Saturday
nday	Mon.		Tues.
od.	Thurs.		Fri.
•	Sun.		

HAND PRINTING SPECIMEN - Part A

A	В	c	D		F	G				K	L	М
- <u>N</u>	 0	P		<u></u>	S	<u> </u>		- v		x		Z
Please PRI using lower	NT - Do case lett	not wri	te e Alphabe	i l								
10-10-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	h	ť	- :	·	1	g		<u>i</u>	<u>j</u>	k		m
n	0	p	<u></u>	r .	s		. — — ~ U	V	w	· X	<u>y</u>	. z
the followin	NT - Do g numbe	not writ rs	e Two		1000 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Three	olombia maio escue appea a j sape con	***************************************	For	ur	and the adjusting the party of	
the followin	NT - Do	not writ				Three Seven			For			
One	NT - Do	not writ	Two						Eig			
Please PRII the following One Five	NT - Do	not writ	Two			Seven			Eig	ht elve		
One Five Nine	NT - Do	<i>r</i> s	Two Six Ten			Seven Eleven			Eig	ht elve een		
One Five	NT - Do g numbe		Two Six Ten			Seven Eleven			Two	ht elve een nty		

HAND PRINTING SPECIMEN - Part B

	·	
Twenty-four	Twenty-one	
Thirty-three	Thirty-nine	
Forty-six	Forty-eight	
Fifty-two	Fifty-seven	*********
	•	
Sixty-one	Sixty-two	
evenly-five	Seventy-eight	
ighty-three	Eighty-four	
linety-six	Ninety-nine	_
		•
•		
tten by		

HAND PRINTING SPECIMEN - Part C

Please PRINT - Do not write the following days and dates

: :

L.	Sun.		-
ed.	Thurs.		Fri.
unday	Мол.		Tues.
	and the same of th		,
hursday	Friday		Saturday
Monday	Tuesday	***************************************	Wednesday
May 2. 1995		June 17, 1996	
March 31, 1993	The Control of the Co	April 28, 1994	
January 22, 1991		February 16, 1992	
November 6, 1989		December 29, 199	0
September 20, 1987	er ette finnen mijellikk kinnen sterete e	October 30, 1988	
July 25, 1985		August 31, 1986	
May 27. 1983		June 10, 1984	
March 18, 1981	•	April 9, 1982	
January 15, 1979		February 23, 198	U

Dain